

#11

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re patent application of

Docket No. FIS9-2000-0138US1

R. Dean Adams

Serial No.: 09/625,996

Group Art Unit: No.2133

Filed: July 26, 2000


Examiner: Chaudry, Mujtaba M.

**For: SYSTEM INITIALIZATION OF MICROCODE-BASED MEMORY BUILT-IN
SELF-TEST**Assistant Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231**REQUEST FOR INTERVIEW**

Sir:

Please arrange time for interview for the above-identified matter, which has been finally rejected by the Office Action dated April 8, 2003. An interview agenda, draft of the proposed amendment and comparison chart of the present invention with the reference to Schwartz are included in advance for the Examiner's consideration in order to indicate the issues to be discussed.

Respectfully submitted,

Olga V. Merkoulouva
Registration No. 48,757Whitham, Curtis & Christofferson, P.C.
11491 Sunset Hills Road, Suite 340
Reston, VA 20190
Tel. (703) 787-9400
Fax. (703) 787-7557



WHITHAM, CURTIS & CHRISTOFFERSON, P.C.
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

Providing Global Intellectual Property Strategies & Solutions

*Michael E. Whitham
Marshall M. Curtis
Clyde R. Christofferson
C. Lamont Whitham*
of counsel

*Registered Patent Agents:
Ruth E. Tyler-Crow, Ph.D.
Olga V. Merkoulouva
Law Clerks:
Philana S. Handler
K. James Sangston, Ph.D.*

June 2, 2003

To: Mr. Chaudry
Phone: 703-305-7755
FAX: 703-746-8766 US PTO

From: Olga Merkoulouva
Phone: 703-787-9400
FAX: 703-787-7557
RE: Serial No. 09/625,996

Dear Mr. Chaudry:

As we discussed over the phone this morning I enclose an interview agenda for the above-identified matter accompanied with a draft of the proposed amendment and comparison chart of the present invention and primary reference to Schwartz.

I really appreciate if you will find possible to schedule an interview sometime this week. Thank you for your time and cooperation.

Sincerely,

Olga Merkoulouva

7 pages with cover

Interview Agenda for Application Serial No. 09/625,996

1. Discussion of proposed amendment. (A draft of the proposed amendment is attached)
2. Discussion of differences between the primary reference and present invention. (A comparison chart of the present invention and primary reference to Schwarz is attached).
3. Discussion of clarity of the claim language in a view the above discussions.